Authenticated Wireless Network Services using NoCatAuth

Implementation at College of Business San Francisco State University

Sameer Verma, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor of Information Systems College of Business San Francisco State University San Francisco, CA 94132

Wi-Fi and campus LANs

Campus LANs

- Existing Infrastructure
- Well-defined core structure
- Primary use in student labs
- Wi-Fi
 - Extension of the network via laptops (and perhaps PDAs)
 - Not a replacement for the core

The problem

How can SFSU provide wireless access without worrying about unauthorized use?

ISP's Acceptable Use Policy

Using Wireless LANs on campus

- User (student) perspective
 - Minimum configuration
 - Cheap hardware
 - Mobility
 - Security
 - Email, IM, homework 🙂

- Provider (admin) perspective
 - Authorized use
 - Minimal tech support
 - Network control
 - Protect network assets
 - Grades, accounts, etc.

Security is a notion

- Three aspects of security
 - Authentication: Is my login being authenticated by the correct server? (credit card model)
 - Authorization: Am I authorized to use these network services? (login model)
 - Accounting: How many hours of use will I be billed for? (pay-per-use model)

Login processes

- Login is a user-related process.
 Where do we check the credentials of the user?
- Check credentials at TCP layer via SSL
- Check credentials via IPSec at IP layer
- Check credentials at Data Link layer via WEP
- Check credentials at the Physical layer...(lock the door to the Faraday Cage?)





Captive Portal: an alternative

- A portal that captures user's request for a website.
- Checks user and machine credentials against a database.
- Forces the user to login.
- Maintains session for the duration of login.
- The user's access is "captive".
 - Sometimes also called "catch and release"

NoCatAuth A captive portal solution

NoCat Group – <u>http://nocat.net/</u>

- Provides secure, browserbased *authentication* via SSL
- Requires login+password for *authorized* use.
- Maintains login and logout information for optional accounting purposes.
- An add-on feature provides Quality of Service via traffic shaping

Client-Side Requirements

- Browser (Netscape, MSIE, Opera, Mozilla, Galeon, Konqueror)
 - Operating System independent*.
 - No extra software downloads required.
- Wireless card
 - Any Wi-Fi card will do.
- An account in the database.
 - User can request for an account via a form or the database can be pre-populated with account information

Authentication and Authorization Process

Steps involved in Authentication, and Authorization









NoCatAuth



NoCatAuth



NoCatAuth





NoCatAuth - Gateway



Possible Firewall Implementations

- IPTables (linux 2.4)
- IPChains (linux 2.2)
- IPFilter (*BSD)

Possible Permissions

- (Allow/Deny)
- (Allow/Deny) + (Exclude/Include Ports)
- (Allow/Deny) + (Exclude/Include Ports) + (Bandwidth Control via Class Based Queues)

BAWUG July 25 2002

NoCatAuth – Traffic Shaping



Traffic Shaping Device

NoCatAuth – Traffic Shaping

Owner Class gets most bandwidth and can override all priorities and queues.



Member Class (user who logs in, but is not a node owner) gets limited bandwidth

Public Class (user who skips login) gets *very* limited bandwidth. This is more like a guest login.

Note: Default values in NoCatAuth's throttle.fw are Owner=3mbit, Member=1mbit and Public=128kbit

BAWUG July 25 2002

NoCatAuth – Auth Service

Auth Database Server

Authentication Server

- •WebServer + SSL
 - ApacheOpenSSL

Possible Backend Data Sources

- •Flat File (md5 passwords)
- •Databases (via DBI)
- •Pluggable Authentication Modules (PAM)
- •Samba
- •LDAP



APs are on non-overlapping channels 1, 6 and 11

NoCatAuth – Alternative Implementation at SFSU MySQL Auth **College of Business** Server db

BAWUG July 25 2002

NoCatAuth - Future Implementation at SFSU



BAWUG July 25 2002

Further Information

- NoCatAuth
 - http://nocat.net/
- Implementation report
 - http://verma.sfsu.edu/users/wireless/nocatauth_report.pdf